

Submission # 33

Name:Mauro Santaniello

Organization:University of Salerno

Country/Region:Italy

How would you define the stakeholder community or communities to which you belong?

Academic

Are there any suggestions that you wish to make in respect of the proposed themes, questions and indicators which are included in the framework as it stands?

RIGHTS

THEME A could include also a question relating to protection and enjoyment of human rights on the Internet in practice. A quantitative indicator measuring the number of legal cases or formal denunciations is suggested. Sources could include online law and court case repositories (such as EURLEX for the European Union, <https://eur-lex.europa.eu>) and annual official reports usually published by national Interior Ministers.

THEME B

B.6 could include, among its indicators, 'Legal and/or economic restrictions, if any, on second-level domain (SLD or 2LD) registration under the national ccTLD'. Connected to M, B4.

THEME E could include also a question relating to actual violations of privacy online. Data could be collected from annual official reports usually issued by data protection authorities, if any.

THEME F currently looks at the Internet just as a policy tool, not as a policy problem itself. The theme could also include questions and indicators relating social and economic rights impacted by GIG economy, including the right to join trade unions, the right to strike, and those rights established by Article 7 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
F.1 could include an indicator such as 'Presence of public e-learning platforms'

MULTISTAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION

THEME A focusses on government's action, as it relates to the legal and regulatory framework. I would add another question concerning private sector's accountability, including such indicators as the types of privacy policies, cookie policies, micro-targetting policies, DPI policies, advertisement policies.

The last indicator of A.1 could be reworked as follows: 'Evidence of participation by diverse stakeholder groups in Internet-related policy-making, including online consultation processes'.

THEME C

C.2. Another indicator is suggested: Submission of governmental official statements to international fora.

GROUP E

Question E.2 could be included in M.

Are there any suggestions that you wish to make in respect of the proposed themes, questions and indicators which are included in the framework as it stands?

See above

What sources and means of verification would you recommend, from your experience, in relation to any of the questions and indicators that have been proposed?

See above