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Country/region Bulgaria
Gender Female

How would you define the stakeholder community or communities to which you belong? Civil society

Questions

1. What are your priorities for issues that should be addressed through the Internet Universality framework in each of these five categories?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Priorities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>privacy, freedom of expression, tolerance, hate speech monitoring,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>5G, the transformation of the right to information to right to internet access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multistakeholder participation</td>
<td>accessibility and awareness to the existing forums - ICANN, IGF, regional and national IGFs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-cutting indicators</td>
<td>gender, age</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Are there any existing indicators with which you are familiar that you think it would be useful to include in the ROAM indicators framework?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Openness
- standards, interoperability, security,

Accessibility
- literacy, basic digital skills,

Multistakeholder participation
- Internet Governance participation, ICANN committees.

Cross-cutting indicators

3. What do you think are the most important gaps in data/evidence required for monitoring Internet Universality and the ROAM principles? What approaches do you think could help to address these in your country, region or area of work?

Rights
- hate speech,

Openness

Accessibility
- ensuring internet access

Multistakeholder participation
- awareness is needed

Cross-cutting indicators

4. What experience or views do you have of indicators relating to the Internet which are concerned with gender and with children and young people?

Although, the access to Internet of children is very much welcomed, it is quite necessary to ensure appropriate safeguards to their rights, especially taking into account their vulnerability.

Furthermore, the participation of children and young people in the multi stakeholder internet governance is in line with the Convention of the Rights of the Child and thus should be further stimulated. To this end, IG events should be designed to be more inclusive and more accessible to these stakeholders as well.

5. How do you think you might use the indicator framework for Internet Universality once it has been developed?

The indicators network will help us assess progress of the state and the respective public bodies in providing Internet universality. We will use the framework to enrich our research activities and to implement a rights-based approach toward IG events we participate in.

6. How do you think that other stakeholders might use the framework?

Other stakeholders could use the framework to boost their activities as well. I.e. standardization will enhance the technological interoperability. Furthermore, the highlight of the rights related to Internet Universality will aid the progress of applicable legal frameworks on a global level.

7. Please add any other comments that you think will be helpful to UNESCO in developing the indicators framework.

8. Please upload any documents that you think will be helpful here.
Please indicate here if you do NOT want your contribution to be published on the UNESCO Internet Universality Indicators consultation platform.