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Personal information

**Name** Olufemi Samson Adetunji  
**Organisation** Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria  
**Email address** osadetunji@futa.edu.ng  
**Country/region** Nigeria  
**Gender** Male

**How would you define the stakeholder community or communities to which you belong?**
- Academic
- Individual capacity

Questions

1. What are your priorities for issues that should be addressed through the Internet Universal framework in each of these five categories?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>Internet should be a right not a privilege. This will make governments of countries especially the developing ones to make reasonable efforts towards this effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness</td>
<td>Online materials such as academic works should be open-accessed but internet connections should not be open due to security issues. Also, restrictions in countries should be minimized to allow more service providers into the sector especially in the developing countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>There is a large gap between internet access in rural and urban areas. In Nigeria, the rural areas are neglected totally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multistakeholder participation</td>
<td>There should be people's participation in this. Adequate sensitization of the public through interest groups, trade unions etc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cross-cutting indicators

2. Are there any existing indicators with which you are familiar that you think it would be useful to include in the ROAM indicators framework?
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3. What do you think are the most important gaps in data/evidence required for monitoring Internet Universality and the ROAM principles? What approaches do you think could help to address these in your country, region or area of work?
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4. What experience or views do you have of indicators relating to the Internet which are concerned with gender and with children and young people?

5. How do you think you might use the indicator framework for Internet Universality once it has been developed?

6. How do you think that other stakeholders might use the framework?

7. Please add any other comments that you think will be helpful to UNESCO in developing the indicators framework.

8. Please upload any documents that you think will be helpful here.