Country: South Africa
Representatives' names: Norman Mphake

Please fill in the form below, based on the steps already taken or on your anticipations regarding the roll-out of the QPE policy revision process at national level. This will be useful to identify the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats of the policy revision process for the forthcoming months and help the workshop participants to focus on responding to the specific needs of the QPE pilot countries.

			LIES				
	QUESTIONS	YES	NO	COMMENTS			
	Preparation to the QPE policy revision process						
1	Has the current physical education framework (policies/curricula) been analysed (in terms of strengths and gaps) prior to the participation in the QPE policy project?	✓					
2	Has the QPE policy package been thoroughly reviewed and analysed by the engaged stakeholders at national level?		×				
3	Did the engaged stakeholders have the opportunity to discuss together the content of the QPE policy package (Guidelines and Methodology) and raise potential questions to the UNESCO QPE focal points?		×				
4	Has the participation in the QPE policy revision process been formally announced at national level?		×				
	Engagement of the concerned stakeholders						
5	Is the Ministry responsible for physical education engaged in somehow in the QPE policy revision process at national level?	✓					
6	Are there several Ministries engaged in/ and cooperating on the QPE policy revision process at national level (i.e. Ministry of Education, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Youth and Sport, etc.)?	✓		Department of Sport and Recraetion South Africa			
7	Has a common contact database been established for the QPE policy revision process, gathering the networks of all the stakeholders at national level?		×				
8	Have meetings already been organized between the different stakeholders engaged in the QPE policy revision process at national level (Ministry focal points, Lead country partner, National coordinator, etc.)?		×				
9	Have the roles of the engaged stakeholders been clearly defined from the start at national level?		×				
10	composed of a broad range of expert/ and at least 3 candidates interviewed)?	✓					
11	Has the selected National coordinator been presented to all engaged stakeholders in the QPE policy project at national level?		×				

Quality Physical Education (QPE) Workshop

Co-organised by UNESCO and GIZ

29 & 30 September 2016 - UNESCO Headquarters, Miollis, Room XVI







		1					
	Have national coordinator's deliverables and/or other						
12	national reports been already uploaded the QPE		X				
	UNESTEAMS platform?						
13	Has the list of the Steering Committee members been		×				
13	established according to a consensus?		^				
	Has a list of potential members of the Technical Working						
14	Group already been established and experts from different		\ <u></u>				
	areas (PE, health, curriculum drafting, etc.) been identified?		×				
	Are the authorities responsible for the adoption of the						
	revised QPE policy - and of the corresponding budgeted						
15	implementation plan - aware of/ engaged in the QPE policy	✓					
	revision process at national level?						
	Establishment of a national roadmap						
	Has the generic ODE policy revision process timeline been						
16	adapted to the national context with concrete deadlines?		×				
	Have concrete deadlines been established between the						
17	national coordinator and the other engaged stakeholders						
	regarding the delivery of expected deliverables?		×				
		ti nar	ticipa	atomy process			
	Ensuring a consultative and multi-participatory process						
18	Have the Training workshop's notes shared by UNESCO HQ		X				
	been adapted to the national context?		, ,				
19	Have the modalities of organization of the consultations		X				
	been already considered?						
	Have you already identified which categories of population						
20	from the civil society you would like to include in the policy		X				
	revision process through consultations?						
	Do you think that national associations and civil society						
21	individuals will be willing to be involved in the	✓					
	consultations?						
22	Have you anticipated a strategy to contact those who should	✓					
	be consulted?	·					
	Have you contacted potential local partners/associations						
23	able to provide you with additional support during the policy		×				
	revision process (logistical, intellectual, financial, etc.)?						
	Have the necessary human and financial resources been						
	secured from the start to enable a fully participatory process						
24	during the revision of the PE policy (i.e. notably for the		\times				
	organization of consultations, workshop, etc. with a broad						
	range of audiences)?						
	Endorsement of the revi	ised C	PE p	olicy			
	Has a strategy already been considered regarding the						
25	mobilization of funds dedicated to the implementation of		×				
	the revised policy at national level?						
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Quality Physical Education (QPE) Workshop

Co-organised by UNESCO and GIZ

29 & 30 September 2016 - UNESCO Headquarters, Miollis, Room XVI







Considering the above answers and your analysis of the roll-out of the policy revision process, which
are, according to you, the existing or potential strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats ¹
regarding the policy revision process to come in your country?
Strengths:
Weaknesses:
Opportunities:
Threats:
Would you need feedback on any specific aspect mentioned above during the workshop? If so, please explain.
Would you like to share any advice lesson learnt on the policy revision process? If so, please explain. the life orientation workbooks which are currently being developed may accelerate the physical education agenda

Please return the completed form to m.leroy@unesco.org by Thursday 22 September COB (Paris time). Thank you for your contribution.

Quality Physical Education (QPE) Workshop

Co-organised by UNESCO and GIZ

29 & 30 September 2016 - UNESCO Headquarters, Miollis, Room XVI







¹ E.g. in terms of human/ financial/ logistical/ intellectual resources, internal procedures, risks of delayed implementation, opportunities of partnerships, in-kind contributions, etc.