



United Nations
Educational, Scientific and
Cultural Organization



Sustainable
Development
Goals



international social science council

World Social Science Report 2016

Equality as a valued social norm, inequality as an injustice



Street artwork by Ernest Zacharevic
(Georgetown, Penang, Malaysia, 2012)

© Ernest Zacharevic. All rights reserved.
Third-party use or commercial redistribution of all or part of this image is
subject to the prior permission of Ernest Zacharevic.

65. Equality as a valued social norm, inequality as an injustice

Sakiko Fukuda-Parr

Social attitudes to inequality are a critical factor in the politics of change. Unless inequality itself is seen as a problem, and equality is held as a social value by politicians, activists and the public at large, there will be no agitation or support for corrective measures. Is inequality bad in and of itself, and is equality a valuable end? Few would argue for a society of completely equal outcomes. Inequality that results from differences in effort and ability is widely accepted as legitimate, as both a just reward and a necessary incentive for hard work. So what kind and what level of inequality is unacceptable? At what point does public opinion turn to finding inequality excessive or extreme?

In much of the economics literature, these questions have been approached on the basis of self-interested median voters framed in rational choice theory, whose support for redistribution depends on their relative income position. People at the lower end of the income distribution are more likely to vote for redistributive policies which, when supported by the 'median voter', would lead to policy change (see e.g. Alesina and Rodrik, 1994). They reject inequality caused by unfair advantages of birth and connection (Alesina and Angeletos, 2005). This approach neglects two important and interrelated factors: social norms valuing equality, and the effect of income inequality on political power.

Inequality is inherently about fairness and social justice. Regardless of their position on income distribution, many view extreme inequality as morally wrong and unfair, a position that forms part of their ethical values (Sen, 2000). Such views are often socially constructed, and shaped by cultural norms. Societies vary in the value they place on equality, and in their rejection or tolerance of inequality.

Inter-country variations – consistently observed in surveys¹ – can be explained less by factors such as levels of economic development, levels of inequality, and the nature of active redistributive policies, than by history and cultural beliefs. Using data from twenty-six countries, Lubker (2006) found that intolerance for inequality and public support for redistribution are not driven by the level of inequality, but instead by social justice norms. Suhrcke (2001), in a study of Europe, found important differences between East and West, attributable to a historically entrenched cultural norm. Reducing inequality and winning public support for redistribution therefore requires shifts in cultural values.

Inequality regarded as social injustice is concerned with wealth that leads to political capture. Indeed, contemporary advocates for attacking inequality, from the protesters (Ortiz et al., 2014) to Joseph Stiglitz (2012) to Oxfam (2014), do not argue that inequality is excessive in the abstract, but as it relates to structures of power and the working of markets and politics. The grievance is not just against the distribution of income and wealth in itself, but with the perception that it is driven by policies and institutions that are unfair, pitted in favour of the wealthy, and active in perpetuating a vicious circle of ever-increasing inequality.

Note

1. Such as the World Values Survey (www.worldvaluessurvey.org/wvs.jsp) and the Social Inequality Survey of the International Social Survey Programme (ISSP) (www.issp.org/).

Bibliography

Alesina, A. and Angeletos, G.-M. 2005. Fairness and redistribution. *American Economic Review*, Vol. 95, No. 4, pp. 960–80. www.jstor.org/stable/4132701?seq=1#page_scan_tab_contents (Accessed 21 February 2016.)

Alesina, A. and Rodrik, D. 1994. Distributive politics and economic growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 109, No. 2, pp. 465–90. <https://www.mtholyoke.edu/courses/epaus/econ213/rodrikalesina.pdf> (Accessed 21 February 2016.)

Lubker, M. 2006. Inequality and the demand for redistribution: are the assumptions of the new growth theory valid? *Socio-Economic Review*, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 117–48. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=888722 (Accessed 15 February 2016.)

Ortiz, I., Burke, S. L., Berrada, M. and Cortes, H. 2013. World Protests 2006–2013. Working Paper no. 2013. New York, Initiative for Policy Dialogue and Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung. <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2374098> (Accessed 15 February 2016.)

Oxfam. 2014. *Working for the Few: Political Capture and Economic Inequality*. Briefing Paper 178. London, Oxfam. www.ipu.org/splz-e/unga14/oxfam.pdf (Accessed 15 February 2016.)

Sen, A. 2000. Social justice and the distribution of income. A. B. Atkinson and F. Bourguignon (eds), *Handbook of Income Distribution*. Amsterdam, North-Holland.

Stiglitz, J. E. 2012. *The Price of Inequality*. New York, Norton.

Suhrcke, M. 2001. *Preferences for Inequality: East Vs. West*. Working Paper no. 89. Florence, UNICEF Innocenti Research Centre.

■ **Sakiko Fukuda-Parr** (Japan) is professor of international affairs at the New School, New York.

This article features in the World Social Science Report 2016, UNESCO and the ISSC, Paris.

The World Social Science Report 2016 was published by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), 7, place de Fontenoy, 75352 Paris 07 SP, France and the International Social Science Council (ISSC), 1 rue Miollis, 75732 Paris Cedex 15, France.

© ISSC, the Institute of Development Studies (IDS) and UNESCO, 2016

Original title: *World Social Science Report 2016 –*

Challenging Inequalities: Pathways to a Just World – ISBN 978-92-3-100164-2



This publication is available in Open Access under the Attribution ShareAlike 3.0 IGO (CC-BY-SA 3.0 IGO) licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/igo/>). By using the content of this publication, the users accept to be bound by the terms of use of the UNESCO Open Access Repository (<http://www.unesco.org/open-access/terms-use-ccbysa-en>).

The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNESCO, the ISSC or the IDS concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The *World Social Science Report 2016* editorial team is responsible for the choice of articles and the overall presentation. Each author is responsible for the facts contained in his/her article and the opinions expressed therein, which are not necessarily those of UNESCO, the ISSC or the IDS and do not commit these Organizations.

The *World Social Science Report 2016* is a collaborative effort made possible by the support and contributions of many people. It was financed by generous contributions from the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida), UNESCO, as part of its Framework Agreement with the ISSC, the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC), as well as the European Science Foundation (ESF), Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO), the Research Council of Norway, Riksbankens Jubileumsfond, and the Swedish Research Council.

Graphic and cover design: Corinne Hayworth

Typeset and printed by: UNESCO

The World Social Science Report 2016 was prepared by the ISSC and the IDS and co-published with UNESCO

The Report is available online at: en.unesco.org/wssr2016

Hard copies are available from UNESCO Publishing:

http://publishing.unesco.org/details.aspx?&Code_Livre=5160&change=E

This report should be cited as follows: ISSC, IDS and UNESCO (2016), *World Social Science Report 2016, Challenging Inequalities: Pathways to a Just World*, UNESCO Publishing, Paris.



The Report is supported by The Swedish International
Development Cooperation Agency (Sida)